

There were: 27 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	RR	Avg	PHIL Avg	PHIL SP20	Div Avg	Div SP20	Sch Avg	Sch SP20						
											Str Disagree	Disagree	Mild Disagree	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree
Grp	Course Questions			5.79	5.13	5.42	5.23	5.37	5.17	5.29						
Grp	Instructor Questions (CHEN)			5.91	5.26	5.47	5.35	5.52	5.30	5.33						
1	Objectives clearly stated	9	33%	5.78	5.20	5.51	5.27	5.44	5.26	5.41					22% (2)	78% (7)
2	Objectives met	9	33%	5.78	5.16	5.46	5.26	5.39	5.22	5.34					22% (2)	78% (7)
3	Content well-organized	9	33%	5.67	5.12	5.42	5.18	5.32	5.14	5.25				11% (1)	11% (1)	78% (7)
4	Course materials helpful	9	33%	5.78	5.11	5.40	5.19	5.34	5.12	5.26					22% (2)	78% (7)
5	Assignments & exams covered the course	9	33%	5.89	5.22	5.47	5.29	5.46	5.19	5.34					11% (1)	89% (8)
6	Learned great deal	9	33%	5.78	5.05	5.36	5.15	5.30	5.12	5.24					22% (2)	78% (7)
7	Overall effective course	8	30%	5.88	5.04	5.32	5.17	5.33	5.11	5.24					13% (1)	88% (7)
9	Understand connections	9	33%	5.67	5.16	5.4	5.13	5.25	5.13	5.25					33% (3)	67% (6)
10	New way of thinking	9	33%	5.89	5.19	5.4	5.15	5.34	5.12	5.28					11% (1)	89% (8)
11	Took this course to meet a General Education or Bach degree	9	33%	5.67	5.15	5.19	5.25	5.28	5.20	5.25				11% (1)	11% (1)	78% (7)
12	Instructor was organized (CHEN)	8	30%	5.88	5.19	5.43	5.26	5.41	5.23	5.35					13% (1)	88% (7)
13	Instructor presented effectively (CHEN)	8	30%	5.88	5.09	5.40	5.22	5.40	5.14	5.29					13% (1)	88% (7)
14	Instructor created respectful environment (CHEN)	8	30%	6	5.32	5.61	5.40	5.59	5.37	5.53						100% (8)
15	Demonstrated thorough knowledge (CHEN)	8	30%	5.88	5.40	5.60	5.49	5.67	5.44	5.57					13% (1)	88% (7)

16	Instructor encouraged questions/ opinions (CHEN)	8	30%	6	5.33	5.56	5.43	5.59	5.35	5.49						100% (8)
17	Instructor available for student consultation (CHEN)	8	30%	5.88	5.29	5.45	5.38	5.51	5.32	5.46					13% (1)	88% (7)
18	Overall effective instructor (CHEN)	8	30%	5.88	5.18	5.41	5.30	5.48	5.24	5.39					13% (1)	88% (7)

Instructor	Text Responses
	<b>Question: Comments on course effectiveness</b>
	I liked how every class period was laid out on Modules in Canvas, with a plan of what we were doing, assignments due for that week and assigned readings. It was very well organized!
	He had a mandatory meeting to go over our first paper, he required a resubmission of the first paper based on his revision suggestions.
	Nalei Did a great job teaching the material in the course. He encouraged reading and outside of class work, which brought a great discussing into the class setting. I really enjoyed this teacher and this class.
	The material was organized and the design was fun and jokative.
	I enjoyed the encouragement to express our opinions and the slides were organized and efficiently supplemented the reading
	The course was very fun and interesting! I learned about so many new concepts that I never even thought of before. The course was organized in a way that even with no background in philosophy or history, you could learn the information and get a good grade.
	Extremely interactive topics, great engagement into real life and current events.
	<b>Question: Instructor Comments</b>
CHEN	I think that he could be more specific with what he wanted in essays. He gave a rubric, but once we turned it in we all had to meet with him and go over what we did wrong and redo it. He needs to have clearer expectations. Be more specific with what he wants from us.
CHEN	He was very funny and made the classroom engaging. He was also very understanding when student situations come about.
CHEN	The professor took time with each student to ensure they understood the writing assignments, and that they knew what he was looking for in terms of their writing ability.
CHEN	Very well informed professor, Nalei was an extremely helpful throughout the semester and a great professor.
CHEN	The instructor of this course was great!! He was very funny and made each lecture fun to go to. He presented the material in a very organized way, with opportunities for discussion every class!
CHEN	He was willing and open to communicating with students. He applied complex philosophical content to things that we were better able to grasp and understand.
	<b>Question: Language</b>

CHEN	It was difficult to understand him, but he never let that stop him. He did a good job of speaking slowly and would explain things over and over again so that we would understand.
CHEN	Not too much. I would say that there was too much content provided in a single class and so speed talking through everything made it more difficult to focus. Maybe if the class had more time to discuss the material slower, it would be more helpful.
CHEN	It did not have any effect on my experience at all. I would take more classes from him if it were possible. I loved the experience and think of Nalei as an excellent educator.
CHEN	He did not affect my ability to learn in the course at all. Any misunderstandings he corrected and made sure that we were able to understand him.
CHEN	No, this did not affect my ability to learn in this course. Keep at it, your English improved so much from the start to end of the semester.
CHEN	The instructor spoke very good English for a non native speaker. He had very few issues with communicating, and when he did they were resolved easily as he simply asked for help with finding the right word for what he was trying to say.